

From: Dietrich
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2016 11:07 PM
To: Don
Subject:

FYI

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy S64

----- Original message -----
From: Pamela
Date: 2016/03/16 4:39 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: Anastasia
Cc:
Subject:

FYI

Begin forwarded message:

[Quoted text hidden]

Attachments:
<2011 - MOTION RE QUALITY OF LIFE.pdf>
<ATT00001.htm>

From: Justin Orenstein justin.orenstein@lacity.org
Subject: Fwd: Runyon Canyon Park water line project
Date: March 18, 2016 at 6:56 AM JST
To: David Ryu cd4ryu@lacity.org, Sarah Dusseault, Estevan Montemayor, Nicholas Greif, Catherine Landers

Attached is the draft conceptual design (really more of an executive summary of possible short and long-term solutions) provided by LASAN for the Runyon Canyon water reclamation project. Assuming it's OK with them and we don't have any pressing concerns or edits, we'll take it with us to the group meeting and site visit next week.

Sarah - do you think this is enough to go before the Committee for 'Prop O' dollars?

Best,

Justin

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Steven Nikaido <steven.nikaido@lacity.org>
Date: Thu, Mar 17, 2016 at 2:21 PM
Subject: Re: Runyon Canyon Park water line project
To: Justin Orenstein <justin.orenstein@lacity.org>
Cc: Wing Tam <wing.tam@lacity.org>, Ramon Barajas <Ramon.Barajas@lacity.org>, Gordon Haines <gordon.haines@lacity.org>

Hi Justin,

Please find attached an initial draft of LASAN's recommendations. We're available to support your needs and enhance/modify/reformat the attached accordingly.

Best regards,
Steve

On Wed, Mar 16, 2016 at 3:15 PM, Justin Orenstein <justin.orenstein@lacity.org> wrote:
[Quoted text hidden]

-

COUNCILMEMBER • DISTRICT 4
DAVID RYU
SERVING OUR NEIGHBORHOODS
Justin Orenstein
Legislative Deputy
Los Angeles City Councilmember David Ryu
Direct: 213.978.0638
<http://www.davidryu.com/>

Attachments:
<DRAFT Runyon Cyn LASAN BMPs re LADWP Water Project 2016_v.1.docx>

From: David Ryu cd4ryu@lacity.org
Subject: Re: Runyon Canyon Park water line project

—
—

—
—
—

Begin forwarded message:

[Quoted text hidden]

From: Catherine Landers catherine.landiers@lacity.org
Subject: Fwd: FORC's Runyon Basketball Court
Date: March 19, 2016 at 5:31 AM JST
To: Estevan Montemayor estevan.montemayor@lacity.org

COUNCILMEMBER • DISTRICT 4
DAVID RYU
SERVING OUR NEIGHBORHOODS

Catherine Landers
Senior Field Deputy
Los Angeles City Councilmember David Ryu
Office: 323.957.6415
<http://www.davidryu.com/>

----- Forwarded -----
From: Anastasia
Date: Tue, Mar 15, 2016 at 9:47 AM
Subject: Fwd: FORC's Runyon Basketball Court
To: Vicki Israel <vicki.israel@lacity.org>
Cc: Catherine Landers <catherine.landiers@lacity.org>

See photo below.

Anastasia

Begin forwarded message:

[Quoted text hidden]

From: Anastasi
Subject: See MOU ATTACHED
Date: March 16, 2016 at 1:43 AM JST
To: Vicki Israel vicki.israel@lacity.org

Please read.

Attachments:
<14-278.pdf>
<ATT00001.htm>

From: Catherine Landers catherine.landiers@lacity.org
Subject: Fwd: See MOU ATTACHED
Date: March 17, 2016 at 8:59 AM JST
To: Sarah Dusseault sarah.dusseault@lacity.org

Catherine Landers
Senior Field Deputy
Los Angeles City Councilmember David Ryu

Forward:

From: Anastasi

Date: Tue, Mar 15, 2016 at 9:43 AM

Subject: See MOU ATTACHED

To: Vicki Israel <vicki.israel@lacity.org>

Cc: Catherine Landers <catherine.landiers@lacity.org>, Don Alvarez

Please read.

Attachments:
<ATT00001.htm>
<14-278.pdf>

From:

Don Alvarez

Subject:

HHWNC Meeting tomorrow

Date:

March 16, 2016 at 12:45 AM JST

To:

Joel Alvarez joel.alvarez@lacity.org, Vicki Israel, Catherine Landers, Don Alvarez, Stacy

Wed, Mar 16th,
2016

5:30 PM William and Ariel Durant Branch Library, 7140 W. Sunset Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90046

Here is the information on the HHWNC Meeting and the Agenda is enclosed.

FORC is not on the Agenda. The conversation about Runyon Canyon will occur under the CD4 Report by Catherine Landers.

Enclosed is also the list of items that are being investigated for Runyon Canyon Improvements during the park closure. The Team (LADWP, RAP, CD4, FORC, MLA) is evaluating these items based on funding, time constraints, and approvals through the RAP Board of Commissioners. I suggested to Catherine that we may want to provide this as part of her report to HHWNC – as the first step of community outreach. FORC will be doing other, more extensive outreach once the Team agrees on the way forward on these items.

Vicki, thanks for the conversation this morning – very helpful.

Thank you all and hope you all have a good day,

Don

Don

Attachments:
<HHWNC BOD Agenda 3.16.16.pdf>
<Runyon Improvements - Community Outreach.docx>

From:

Vicki Israel vicki.israel@lacity.org

Subject:

Re: HHWNC Meeting tomorrow

Date:

March 16, 2016 at 12:52 AM JST

To:

Don Alvarez

Thanks Don!

On Tue, Mar 15, 2016 at 8:45 AM, Don Alvarez wrote:
[Quoted text hidden]

Vicki Israel
Assistant General Manager
Department of Recreation and Parks
Partnership and Revenue Branch
221 N. Figueroa St

Suite 350
Los Angeles, Ca 90012
Ph: 213-202-2633
cell: 213-216-9865
vicki.israel@lacity.org

From: Don [REDACTED]
Subject: HHWNC - Runyon Plans
Date: March 15, 2016 at 10:44 AM JST
To: Catherine Landers catherine.landiers@lacity.org

Hi Catherine,

Per our discussion, enclosed is the summary of potential improvements to Runyon Canyon during the park closure. This list is intended for Community Outreach and feedback, and can be provided at the HHWNC on Wednesday. Comments/questions?

Have a good evening,

Don

Attachments:

<Runyon Improvements - Community Outreach.docx>

From: Don
Subject: Runyon Improvements - Status Meeting
Date: March 15, 2016 at 6:14 AM JST
To: Ramon Barajas ramon.barajas@lacity.org

Good afternoon Ramon,

We should have a follow-up status meeting later this week – Thursday/Friday – to discuss the status of the enclosed plan for Runyon Improvements. This will be shortly after the Board of Commissioners Meeting – so the timing is good. It may be our last get-together prior to park closure. Also, should we have a DWP rep attend?

Highest priority topics:

- Fire Road Resurfacing
- Report of Sanitation Dept. – re: Storm Water Drainage
- East Trail Steps
- Mile Markers
- Community feedback and Outreach Efforts

Can you have your staff suggest a time that works for you folks at RAP, and we will do our best to accommodate.

Thank you and have a great week,

Don

FORC Friends of Runyon Canyon.org

From: Don [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2016 3:20 PM
To: 'Michael Shull' <michael.a.shull@lacity.org>; 'Ramon Barajas' <ramon.barajas@lacity.org>; 'Cathie Santo Domingo' <cathie.santodomingo@lacity.org>; 'Justin Orenstein' <justin.orenstein@lacity.org>; Don [REDACTED]; Stacy [REDACTED]; 'Mia' [REDACTED]; 'Claire' [REDACTED]
Cc: 'Catherine Landers' <catherine.landiers@lacity.org>
Subject: Runyon Improvements Meeting - Summary/Actions

Good afternoon,

Enclosed is my best effort to capture our discussion/actions from the meeting on Friday. Also, Catherine Landers called as I was leaving the meeting and said the 2 Bike Racks are ready to install. The last page of the enclosure we will use to do community outreach, as well as help plan the re-opening.

What do we need to add? What did I forget? What is incorrect?

Attendees:

Michael Shull	michael.a.shull@lacity.org	RAP
Ramon Barajas	ramon.barajas@lacity.org	RAP
Cathie Santo Domingo	cathie.santodomingo@lacity.org	RAP
Justin Orenstein	Justin.orenstein@lacity.org	CD4
Don		FORC
Stacy		FORC
M		MLA
Claire		MLA

Don

Friends of Runyon Canyon Foundation

Attachments:

<Runyon Improvements during Closure - 3-7-2015.docx>

From: Ramon Barajas ramon.barajas@lacity.org
Subject: Re: Runyon Improvements - Status Meeting
Date: March 15, 2016 at 7:12 AM JST
To: Don, j, Sandra

Don,

I might not be available to meet this week, but maybe we can try for next Thursday around 12:30 p.m. at our Central Service Yard, located at 3900 Chevy Chase Drive, LA.

On Mon, Mar 14, 2016 at 2:14 PM, Don wrote:
[Quoted text hidden]

From: Don
Subject: RE: Runyon Improvements - Status Meeting
Date: March 16, 2016 at 9:56 AM JST
To: Ramon Barajas ramon.barajas@lacity.org, Sandra

Thanks Ramon,

OK let's plan on that. Can your office send out a meeting invitation to the folks on the email list?

Thank you,

Don

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

March 23, 2016 at 10:28 AM JST

To:

Justin Orenstein justin.orenstein@lacity.org

COUNCILMEMBER • DISTRICT 4
DAVID RYU
SERVING OUR NEIGHBORHOODS

Catherine Landers
Senior Field Deputy
Los Angeles City Councilmember David Ryu
Office: 323.957.6415
<http://www.davidryu.com/>

Forwarded message

From: Michael

Date: Fri, Mar 18, 2016 at 11:23 AM

Subject: RE: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon

To: Anastasia

Cc: Michael; Cyndy; Cyndy

"grayce.liu@lacity.org" <grayce.liu@lacity.org>, Joel Alvarez <Joel.Alvarez@lacity.org>, Catherine Landers <catherine.landern@lacity.org>, Carmen Hawkins <carmen.hawkins@lacity.org>, Dor

Cyd: If you hadn't copied so many people who were not at our meeting, I would not feel obligated to respond to your rant, but since you did, I feel so obligated. I don't think we had CAVE people or NIMBYs or racists at our meeting on Wednesday. We had people who care about the park questioning how a commercial development in the park came about without any public notice or discussion. If the MOU between RAP and FORC calls out HHWNC as the body that is supposed to conduct public outreach related to the park, then that is an important role that we must carry out. I don't think that I was out of line asking Don if we can have a public town hall meeting to hear what is included in the FORC Vision Plan for the park. Many of our stakeholders are very protective of the park. As President of the Outpost Homeowners Association, I feel a special obligation to monitor what goes on there, as the Outpost HOA was one of the leaders in the fight against residential development of Runyon Canyon and the creation of the park. You may think that the High Line and Central Park are great examples of what Runyon should become, as you stated Wednesday, but I have serious reservations about further commercialization of what was supposed to be a natural setting. The zip line fight may be just a precursor other fights to come. In the 22 years I have lived in the Outpost, the park has become overrun and inaccessible to many of us who used to enjoy hiking there. It is a shame. I appreciate the wonderful volunteerism that FORC and its members have shown, but decisions need to be transparent when we are talking about a PUBLIC park. My rant could go on, but I'll leave it at that.

From: Anastasia

Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 10:05 AM

To: Cyndy

Cc: Michael

; Malena <parliamentarian@hhwnc.org>; Catherine Landers <catherine.landern@lacity.org>; Carmen Hawkins <carmen.hawkins@lacity.org>; grayce.liu@lacity.org; Joel Alvarez <Joel.Alvarez@lacity.org>; Dor

Subject: Re: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon

Cyd, this is inappropriate. This is about people having a right to transparency. It's the principle. Not the "product". It's about righting a acknowledged mistake.

You know better that to write your personal opinion as fact under the use of your title and to call people names. What you're unaware of is folks in Runyon who actually live adjacent are very upset and considering legal action just like the Barham situation with Universal. This meeting is meant to avert that and provide transparency to the community as soon as possible as per our mandate. Your opinions can be expressed during a meeting. You have a right just the same as every stakeholder has a right...under the proper forum.

You're making a judgment call on stakeholders' opinions which should not be done in this forum by an officer or any board member under the auspices of the HHWNC.

I politely request that you refrain.

Anastasia

On Mar 18, 2016, at 1:15 AM, Cyd wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

From: Pamela
Subject: RUNYON CANYON
Date: March 18, 2016 at 1:37 PM JST
To: "catherine.landiers@lacity.org" catherine.landiers@lacity.org

Dear Catherine,

Thank you so much for taking the time to speak with me today.

Attached please find the "quality of life" memo I mentioned.

After we spoke this afternoon, I walked past the old tennis court in RUNYON, and discovered that the construction of the new basketball court has already begun. This was a surprise because the HHWNC folks told me they had objected your offices, RAP, and the non-profit FORC, last night, and that we were going to schedule another meeting so us stakeholders could be better informed about the project, and have a chance to chime in and have a vote on this plan.

This evening, I took a quick scan through the proposed basketball court design approved by RAP, and it has a synthetic blacktop for the court surface.

Not only will this new basketball court ruin the aesthetics of a beautiful scenic vista, but it will add noise and traffic, in addition to imposing a large, black, synthetic, surface area onto a otherwise natural, well-loved hiking trail, in an historic wilderness area.

In addition, the new blacktop basketball court will be materially different from the current structure at the site, and it is being designed for a substantially different, and greater, level of activity. Yet, no environmental impact assessment has EVER been made.

I am no expert, but surely, in a natural wilderness area, a CEQA assessment should have been required.

Apparently, RAP is an expert, and have determined the project was "categorically exempt" from CEQA, (notably, RAP has never explained the rational for its determination).

It is my understanding that, even if the project were "categorically exempt", a notice should have been filed with the city planning department prior to any construction at the site. I have searched, but cannot find a CEQA notice on file.

Please let me know if your office has a copy of the CEQA notice (and any permits) filed for the project, and if so, if you would be able to send them to me. If RAP or FORC are exempt from CEQA for some reason, I'd be grateful if you could point me to that ordinance.

Sincerely,

Pamela

Attachments:
<2011 - MOTION RE QUALITY OF LIFE.pdf>
<ATT00001.txt>

From: Don
Subject: FW: RUNYON CANYON
Date: March 19, 2016 at 2:36 AM JST
To: Catherine Landers catherine.landiers@lacity.org, David Ryu

With respect to the attached Motion —

The Motion was passed, and the community stakeholders tried to work the issues to no avail. As noted in a HHWNC Meeting in mid-2013, the issues continued to exist and a group of stakeholders volunteered to form a private-public collaborative effort to work the quality of life issues with the City — the result was FORC. FORC now has a formal agreement (MOU) with the City to work the issues/improvements related to Runyon Canyon — representing the park constituents and working closely with RAP, CD4, LADWP, Sanitation, LAFD and LAPD for resolutions.

Don

Don

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

On Mar 18, 2016, at 1:15 AM, Cyd A

wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

From: Don
Subject: RE: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon
Date: March 19, 2016 at 4:45 AM JST
To: Michael, Anastasi, Cyd

Just to be clear again — this is not commercialization in the park. This is park SPONSORSHIP following the RAP Policy with no ticketing and no money going in or out of the park. This is a common practice utilized in most parks for improvements.

And yes, the Vision Plan has always been planned to be briefed to HHWNC - after it was coordinated with RAP and CD4.

Don

Sent via the Samsung GALAXY S64, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Michael M
Date: 03/18/2016
To: Anastasi, Cyd
Cc: Michael M
grayce.liu@lacity.org, Joel Alvarez <Joel.Alvarez@lacity.org>
Subject: RE: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon

, Catherine Landers <catherine.landern@lacity.org>, Carmen Hawkins <carmen.hawkins@lacity.org>

Cyd: If you hadn't copied so many people who were not at our meeting, I would not feel obligated to respond to your rant, but since you did, I feel so obligated. I don't think we had CAVE people or NIMBYs or racists at our meeting on Wednesday. We had people who care about the park questioning how a commercial development in the park came about without any public notice or discussion. If the MOU between RAP and FORC calls out HHWNC as the body that is supposed to conduct public outreach related to the park, then that is an important role that we must carry out. I don't think that I was out of line asking Don if we can have a public town hall meeting to hear what is included in the FORC Vision Plan for the park. Many of our stakeholders are very protective of the park. As President of the Outpost Homeowners Association, I feel a special obligation to monitor what goes on there, as the Outpost HOA was one of the leaders in the fight against residential development of Runyon Canyon and the creation of the park. You may think that the High Line and Central Park are great examples of what Runyon should become, as you stated Wednesday, but I have serious reservations about further commercialization of what was supposed to be a natural setting. The zip line fight may be just a precursor other fights to come. In the 22 years I have lived in the Outpost, the park has become overrun and inaccessible to many of us who used to enjoy hiking there. It is a shame. I appreciate the wonderful volunteerism that FORC and its members have shown, but decisions need to be transparent when we are talking about a PUBLIC park. My rant could go on, but I'll leave it at that.

From: Anastasi

Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 10:05 AM

To: Cyd

Cc: Michael M; Malena <

<carmen.hawkins@lacity.org>; grayce.liu@lacity.org; Joel Alvarez <Joel.Alvarez@lacity.org>; Don A

Subject: Re: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon

Cyd, this is inappropriate. This is about people having a right to transparency. It's the principle. Not the "product". It's about righting a acknowledged mistake.

You know better that to write your personal opinion as fact under the use of your title and to call people names. What you're unaware of is folks in Runyon who actually live adjacent are very upset and considering legal action just like the Barham situation with Universal. This meeting is meant to avert that and provide transparency to the community as soon as possible as per our mandate. Your opinions can be expressed during a meeting. You have a right just the same as every stakeholder has a right...under the proper forum.

You're making a judgment call on stakeholders' opinions which should not be done in this forum by an officer or any board member under the auspices of the HHWNC.

I politely request that you refrain.

Anastasi

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

On Mar 18, 2016, at 10:17 AM, Don wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

Attachments:
<image003.png>
<image004.jpg>

From: Catherine Landers catherine.landiers@lacity.org
Subject: Fwd: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon
Date: March 19, 2016 at 2:45 AM JST
To: Estevan Montemayor estevan.montemayor@lacity.org, Sarah Dusseault, Adeena Bleich

Just so everyone is up to date, see below

COUNCILMEMBER • DISTRICT 4
DAVID RYU
SERVING OUR NEIGHBORHOODS

Catherine Landers
Senior Field Deputy
Los Angeles City Councilmember David Ryu
Office: 323.957.6415
<http://www.davidryu.com/>

Forwarded message
From: Anastasia
Date: Fri, Mar 18, 2016 at 10:34 AM
Subject: RE: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon
To: Don A
Cc: Joel Alvarez <joel.alvarez@lacity.org>, Catherine Landers <catherine.landiers@lacity.org>, Nicholas Greif <nicholas.greif@lacity.org>, Vicki Israel <vicki.israel@lacity.org>, "grayce.liu@lacity.org" <grayce.liu@lacity.org>, Carmen Hawkins <carmen.hawkins@lacity.org>, Cyd, Shael Me, Kathy Malana <...>, David Ryu <david.ryu@lacity.org>, Lead Officer Ralph SANCHEZ - LAPD <30735@lapd.lacity.org>, Mark Miller

I'm sorry if my comment suggested the board was paid. That was not my intention. I mean "paid" membership. The community that hasn't paid for membership is excluded from the knowledge of the "vision" which is what we are hoping to fix.

Simple as that.

Thank you, Don.

HHWNC will call the meeting and we just want to coordinate dates as soon as possible.

I am sure we all share the same good intentions about our beautiful park.

Thank you!

Anastasia

On Mar 18, 2016, at 10:17 AM, Don wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

Attachments:
<image003.png>
<image004.jpg>

From: Michael
Subject: RE: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon
Date: March 19, 2016 at 3:23 AM JST
To: Anastasia, Cyd



Cyd: If you hadn't copied so many people who were not at our meeting, I would not feel obligated to respond to your rant, but since you did, I feel so obligated. I don't think we had CAVE people or NIMBYs or racists at our meeting on Wednesday. We had people who care about the park questioning how a commercial development in the park came about without any public notice or discussion. If the MOU between RAP and FORC calls out HHWNC as the body that is supposed to conduct public outreach related to the park, then that is an important role that we must carry out. I don't think that I was out of line asking Don if we can have a public town hall meeting to hear what is included in the FORC Vision Plan for the park. Many of our stakeholders are very protective of the park. As President of the Outpost Homeowners Association, I feel a special obligation to monitor what goes on there, as the Outpost HOA was one of the leaders in the fight against residential development of Runyon Canyon and the creation of the park. You may think that the High Line and Central Park are great examples of what Runyon should become, as you stated Wednesday, but I have serious reservations about further commercialization of what was supposed to be a natural setting. The zip line fight may be just a precursor other fights to come. In the 22 years I have lived in the Outpost, the park has become overrun and inaccessible to many of us who used to enjoy hiking there. It is a shame. I appreciate the wonderful volunteerism that FORC and its members have shown, but decisions need to be transparent when we are talking about a PUBLIC park. My rant could go on, but I'll leave it at that.

From: Anastasia

Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 10:05 AM

To: Cyd

Cc: Michael I

<[REDACTED]>; Catherine Landers <catherine.landern@lacity.org>; Carmen Hawkins <carmen.hawkins@lacity.org>; grayce.liu@lacity.org; Joel Alvarez <Joel.Alvarez@lacity.org>; Don I

Subject: Re: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon

Cyd, this is inappropriate. This is about people having a right to transparency. It's the principle. Not the "product". It's about righting a acknowledged mistake.

You know better that to write your personal opinion as fact under the use of your title and to call people names. What you're unaware of is folks in Runyon who actually live adjacent are very upset and considering legal action just like the Barham situation with Universal. This meeting is meant to avert that and provide transparency to the community as soon as possible as per our mandate. Your opinions can be expressed during a meeting. You have a right just the same as every stakeholder has a right...under the proper forum.

You're making a judgment call on stakeholders' opinions which should not be done in this forum by an officer or any board member under the auspices of the HHWNC.

I politely request that you refrain.

Anastasia

Pam
>
>
>

Attachments:
<ATT00001.txt>
<IMG_0487.JPG>
<IMG_0488.JPG>
<ATT00002.txt>

From: Anastasia
Subject: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon
Date: March 18, 2016 at 4:06 PM JST
To: Joel Alvarez Joel.Alvarez@lacity.org, Don, Catherine Landers

Hello Joel, Don and Catherine...

As a follow up to last night's meeting, we need to schedule our "public notice" Stakeholder meeting ASAP. I've been told construction has already started on the basketball court. Is this true?

I am continuing to receive comments and questions from stakeholders that I am unable to answer.

People are legitimately concerned.

I appreciate your attending the HHWNC meeting last night and expressing your apologies for the absence of public outreach on this project. Truly I do. However, we still need to proceed ASAP to schedule and publicize a public meeting or the issue will become more complex.

People within and outside of this community feel very protective and vested in Runyon Canyon, as you know. Not just the paid members of FORC.

HHWNC represents all of the stakeholders in our community and we are duty bound to provide a public forum for issues of concern. This has been the principle mission of the NCs throughout the city since inception. It's not enough to come ask for funds and not honor the code of transparency for the public good.

I need help in answering questions and living up to our commitment.

Can we please schedule ASAP. I am available via my cell: 310-753-9777 or my office number 310-854-6000 (they will find me!). Or email of course.

Thank you all very much.

Anastasia Mann
President
HHWNC
image1.PNG

From: Cyd
Subject: Re: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon
Date: March 18, 2016 at 5:15 PM JST
To: Anastasia

Frankly, this is ridiculous. I am tired of CAVE people (Citizens Against Virtually Everything) hijacking our neighborhood. People like Don Andres and Stacy Sillins care only about improving our neighborhood. The CAVE people have to object to everything, using racist and NIMBY tactics to do so. I was frankly disgusted by what I heard at our HHWNC meeting on Wednesday.

There are major problems with development issues in our area that we should all unite behind. A basketball court in Runyon Canyon is not one of them. While FORC and the Parks Dept. certainly made a misstep, we should not continue to empower the CAVE people on this or any other issue. I oppose this pandering and hope we can instead empower FORC and the Parks Dept., who have a forward-thinking vision for our area.

cyd
vice-president & PLUM Chair
Hollywood Hills West Neighborhood Council

On Fri, Mar 18, 2016 at 12:06 AM, Anastasia
[Quoted text hidden]

From: Anastasia
Subject: Re: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon
Date: March 19, 2016 at 2:05 AM JST
To: Cyd Z

Cyd, this is inappropriate. This is about people having a right to transparency. It's the principle. Not the "product". It's about righting a acknowledged mistake.

You know better that to write your personal opinion as fact under the use of your title and to call people names. What you're unaware of is folks in Runyon who actually live adjacent are very upset and considering legal action just like the Barham situation with Universal. This meeting is meant to avert that and provide transparency to the community as soon as possible as per our mandate. Your opinions can be expressed during a meeting. You have a right just the same as every stakeholder has a right...under the proper forum.

You're making a judgment call on stakeholders' opinions which should not be done in this forum by an officer or any board member under the auspices of the HHWNC.

I politely request that you refrain.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Anastasia
image1.PNG

On Mar 18, 2016, at 1:15 AM, Cyd [REDACTED]

wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

Attachments:
<image1.PNG>

From: Don [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon
Date: March 19, 2016 at 2:17 AM JST
To: Anastasia [REDACTED], Joel Alvarez, Catherine Landers

Good morning Anastasia,

Yes, the contractor has received the Right of Entry Permit from RAP, has received their initial payment, and has begun work.

Please forward all comments/complaints to Joel Alvarez, and copy CD4 and FORC as soon as you can. There is too much disinformation flowing around, and Joel has the proper knowledge to respond to the complaints. We certainly do not expect the HHWNC to respond to these folks. Many comments can be resolved relatively quickly by Joel.

As we discussed on Wednesday, FORC had planned a HHWNC Community Outreach on the Runyon Vision Plan. Will coordinate with RAP, CD4 and our Vision Consultant to schedule and include discussion of the renovation of the basketball court area.

As a point of clarification, the FORC Board of Directors are not paid. Quite the contrary, they have donated significant personal funds and enormous volunteer efforts to make Runyon a park in which we are all proud.

Thank you and have a good day,

Don

FORC Friends of Runyon Canyon.org

From: Anastasia [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 12:06 AM
To: Joel Alvarez <Joel.Alvarez@lacity.org>; Don [REDACTED]; Catherine Landers <catherine.landars@lacity.org>
Cc: Nicholas Greif <nicholas.greif@lacity.org>; Vicki Israel <vicki.israel@lacity.org>; grayce.liu@lacity.org; Carmen Hawkins <carmen.hawkins@lacity.org>; Cyd [REDACTED]; Michael [REDACTED]; Kathy [REDACTED]; Malena [REDACTED]; David Ryu <david.ryu@lacity.org>; Lead Officer Ralph SANCHEZ - LAPD <30735@lapd.lacity.org>; Mark [REDACTED]
Subject: Town Hall/Special Meeting re Runyon

1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780

1781

1782

1783
1784
1785
1786
1787

1788

1789

1790

1791

1792
1793

1794

1795